Review Article

JHSMR Journal of Health Science and Medical Research

Telerehabilitation in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Management: Exploring Experiences and Effectiveness

Simaporn Promsarn, B.Sc.[1,2], Kanokwan Rattanasaengloet, M.A.[2,3]

[1]Pulmonary Function Test Unit, Siriraj Hospital, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10700, Thailand.
[2]Division of Respiratory Disease and Tuberculosis, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10700, Thailand.
[3]COPD Clinic, Siriraj Hospital, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10700, Thailand.

Received 19 September 2023 ● Revised 13 December 2023 ● Accepted 8 January 2023 ● Published online 29 April 2024

Abstract:

Telerehabilitation has emerged as a promising approach to address the challenges posed by chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) management. This article provides a comprehensive review of telerehabilitation's characteristics, components, efficacy, patient experiences, and the implications for COPD patients. Telerehabilitation involves the use of telecommunication technologies to remotely deliver rehabilitation services, including exercise training, education, and monitoring, to individuals with COPD. It addresses barriers such as geographical distance and limited access to specialized facilities, making rehabilitation accessible from home. Telerehabilitation encompasses various approaches, including telemonitoring, teleconsultation, and tele-education. Several studies have indicated positive outcomes in exercise capacity, dyspnea, and quality of life when comparing telerehabilitation interventions to standard care or traditional rehabilitation programs. However, challenges related to technology access, digital literacy, and privacy need to be addressed for equitable implementation. Interdisciplinary collaboration among healthcare professionals is essential for the successful delivery of telerehabilitation. Future research should focus on refining the interventions, standardizing the protocols, and evaluating the long-term maintenance effects. By integrating patient-centered approaches and leveraging advancements in digital technologies, telerehabilitation can revolutionize COPD management strategies, enhancing patient outcomes in the modern healthcare landscape.

Keywords: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), interdisciplinary collaboration, patient-centered care, rehabilitation outcomes, remote healthcare, telerehabilitation

Contact: Simaporn Promsarn, B.Sc.
Pulmonary Function Test Unit, Siriraj Hospital, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10700, Thailand.
E-mail: simaporn.but@mahidol.edu

J Health Sci Med Res 2024;42(5):e20241057
doi: 10.31584/jhsmr.20241057
www.jhsmr.org

Introduction

Telerehabilitation, a novel treatment modality in healthcare, has emerged as a promising approach to address the challenges posed by chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) management. Telerehabilitation involves the use of telecommunication technologies to remotely deliver rehabilitation services, including exercise training, education, and monitoring, to individuals with COPD[1,2]. In the contemporary healthcare landscape, the relevance of telerehabilitation is accentuated by the growing demand for remote healthcare solutions and the imperative to provide effective management for COPD patients. COPD, characterized by persistent airflow limitation and respiratory symptoms, is a major global health concern. While traditional pulmonary rehabilitation has demonstrated efficacy in improving exercise capacity, quality of life, and symptom control, barriers such as geographical distance, limited access to specialized facilities, and the challenges posed by the recent COVID-19 pandemic have hindered its widespread implementation[3,4]. This has fueled interest in telerehabilitation as a viable alternative, enabling patients to engage in rehabilitation programs from the comfort of their homes.

The convergence of advanced telehealth technology with the principles of rehabilitation has paved the way for tele-based exercise therapy tailored to the needs of individuals with stable COPD[2]. This modality, known as telerehabilitation (TR), offers the potential to bridge the gap between patients and healthcare providers, facilitating exercise training, symptom management, and education. Telemonitoring, teleconsultation, and even virtual reality-based interventions are some of the components encompassed within the broader framework of telerehabilitation[5,6]. Furthermore, the body of evidence supporting the effectiveness of telerehabilitation in COPD management is gradually expanding, as observed in various research studies and systematic reviews[2,7-10]. These studies have indicated positive outcomes in exercise capacity, dyspnea, and quality of life when comparing telerehabilitation interventions to standard care or traditional rehabilitation programs. However, it is important to note that while the potential benefits of telerehabilitation are promising, more rigorous investigations are needed to elucidate its optimal implementation, patient selection criteria, and long-term sustainability[9,11].

In light of the evolving healthcare landscape and the persistent challenges posed by COPD management, the exploration of telerehabilitation in COPD care holds significant promise. The following sections of this paper summarize the findings from the existing literature on telerehabilitation and provide a comprehensive overview of telerehabilitation's characteristics, components, efficacy, and the implications for COPD patients[10-12]. By critically examining the body of knowledge surrounding telerehabilitation, we aim to elucidate the potential role it can play in revolutionizing COPD management strategies, aimed at enhancing patient outcomes and improving the quality of care in the modern healthcare landscape.

Telerehabilitation approaches and modalities

COPD presents significant challenges in rehabilitation due to its progressive nature and associated limitations. In recent years, telerehabilitation has emerged as a promising approach to address these challenges. Telerehabilitation involves the delivery of rehabilitation services remotely, using technology-mediated platforms. Below, an overview of the various telerehabilitation models used in COPD management is provided, as well as a comparison of center-based rehabilitation with telerehabilitation approaches, and an examination of telemonitoring, teleconsultation, and tele-education strategies.

Telerehabilitation models in COPD management

The existing telerehabilitation models in COPD management vary in their scope and approach. Studies have explored interventions such as home-based exercise therapy using advanced telehealth technology[2], real-time video-conferencing telerehabilitation[3], and augmented reality glasses as a new telerehabilitation tool[13]. These interventions aim to provide personalized rehabilitation experiences while addressing barriers to participation, such as distance to healthcare facilities and isolation.

Comparison of center-based rehabilitation and telerehabilitation approaches

One notable long-term study conducted by Zanaboni et al.[14] compared long-term telerehabilitation to standard rehabilitation in COPD patients. Over 12 months, the telerehabilitation group demonstrated non-inferiority to standard rehabilitation, with high adherence, patient satisfaction, and safety. This study underlines the potential of telerehabilitation as a sustainable alternative.

Another study, a 12-month randomized clinical trial[15] explored the cost-effectiveness and maintenance effects of tele-pulmonary rehabilitation (TelePR). While TelePR didn't show superiority over usual care, it did show improvements in some health-related quality of life domains. This suggests that TelePR can play a role in long-term COPD management. In another long-term investigation[16], remote pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) maintenance via social media demonstrated effectiveness in reducing the risk of acute exacerbations and maintaining clinical improvements. This and the studies noted above, and others, show the potential of social media-based telerehabilitation as a means of providing the benefits of PR to a wider patient group.

The effectiveness of telerehabilitation can vary depending on the specific telerehabilitation model and the population studied. A systematic review[10] reported that while telerehabilitation could be as effective as outpatient pulmonary rehabilitation, the evidence was limited by the small number of studies and the variety of rehabilitation methods examined. A recent meta-analysis[11] suggested that telerehabilitation could be effective in improving exercise tolerance and patient-reported outcomes, but emphasized the need for more studies to better understand patient selection and the most appropriate telerehabilitation approaches.

Telemonitoring, teleconsultation, and tele-education strategies

Telemonitoring, teleconsultation, and tele-education are integral components of telerehabilitation for COPD. Telemonitoring, often referred to as remote monitoring, involves the use of technology to track and collect patient data, enabling healthcare providers to monitor patients' progress and health status from a distance. Telemonitoring interventions, as demonstrated in various studies[8], have shown positive effects on patient outcomes, allowing for timely intervention and personalized care.

Teleconsultation, on the other hand, involves remote clinical consultations between patients and healthcare providers. It serves as a means to provide clinical support and guidance remotely, facilitating communication and decision-making without the need for physical presence. One recent study explored teleconsultation in the context of COPD management, and found it could play an important role in the context of COPD management[5], it played a crucial role in ensuring ongoing care and addressing patient concerns.

Tele-education is a key strategy within telerehabilitation that aims to empower patients with knowledge and self-management skills. Through educational resources delivered via telecommunication platforms, patients gain a better understanding of their condition and

treatment options. This, in turn, enhances their engagement in the rehabilitation process[13], promoting self-care and improved health outcomes.

Telerehabilitation holds promise as a valuable approach in COPD management, offering innovative solutions to overcome traditional barriers to rehabilitation. While many studies[2,3,5,7,8,10,11,13] have reported positive outcomes in terms of exercise capacity, quality of life, and dyspnea, further research is needed to establish standardized protocols, evaluate the long-term maintenance effects, and address challenges such as technological literacy and data security. As the field of telerehabilitation continues to evolve, its integration into clinical practice has the potential to improve accessibility, effectiveness, and patient outcomes in the management of COPD.

Patient experiences and perceptions

Telerehabilitation has emerged as a viable approach to address the rehabilitation needs of individuals with chronic respiratory diseases, such as COPD. The findings from a range of studies are summarized below to help understand the patient experiences of telerehabilitation from a range of perspectives, as well as the factors influencing engagement, and the benefits and challenges associated with telerehabilitation in the context of COPD and other chronic lung diseases[2,5–10,13–25].

Analysis of patient narratives

Patient narratives underscore the potential of telerehabilitation to bridge geographical barriers and enhance accessibility to rehabilitation services. Telehealth technologies, including video-conferencing and the use of augmented reality technology, have empowered patients to engage in exercise therapy and self-management from the comfort of their homes[13,17]. Patients have reported improved exercise capacity, dyspnea, and quality of life through telerehabilitation interventions[2,8,9,18]. These patients have reported highlight the value of patient-centered approaches in the development of telerehabilitation solutions[13,19].

Factors influencing patient engagement and adherence

Telerehabilitation's success hinges on a number of factors influencing patient engagement, motivation, and adherence. Patient preferences regarding home-based exercise, convenience, and ease of use significantly influence the acceptance of telerehabilitation programs[19,20]. The use of smart devices and digital technologies has empowered patients to actively participate in their rehabilitation journey[21,22]. Nurses and interdisciplinary teams have been important in providing support and guidance, emphasizing the importance of patient-professional coordination[20]. Additionally, the ability to tailor interventions based on individual patient needs enhances patient satisfaction and adherence[15,16,20].

Patient-reported benefits and challenges

The patient-reported benefits of telerehabilitation encompass improvements in exercise tolerance, functional capacity, quality of life, and psychological well-being[2,7,10,17,23,24]. However, challenges related to technology proficiency, access, and privacy have been highlighted as potential barriers to engagement[5,25]. The implementation of user-centered design principles and digital literacy need to be addressed to ensure the usability and effectiveness of telerehabilitation programs[5,21].

Reviewing previous studies on telerehabilitation in patients with chronic respiratory diseases has provided valuable insights into various factors influencing patient engagement, motivation, and adherence, as well as the benefits and challenges. These studies have consistently emphasized the importance of patient-centered approaches and technology integration to enhance the usability and

effectiveness of telerehabilitation programs[13,19,21]. As above across multiple studies have highlighted the convenience and accessibility of telerehabilitation, enabling individuals to engage in exercise therapy from their homes. Patients have reported improved exercise capacity, quality of life, and psychological well-being through telehealth technologies such as video-conferencing and augmented reality[2,8,9,13,17,23].

Factors influencing patient engagement and adherence include patient preferences for home-based exercise, ease of use of smart devices, and the support provided by interdisciplinary teams. Nurses and healthcare professionals have played roles in patient-professional coordination, enhancing patient satisfaction and adherence[17-19,23,25]. However, challenges related to technology proficiency, access, and privacy have been consistently reported. Some patients may face barriers due to limited digital literacy or technological resources. Addressing these challenges is fundamental for ensuring equitable access to telerehabilitation services[5,25].

As we look to the future of telerehabilitation, it is essential to build on these findings. Further research should focus on refining the design of telerehabilitation platforms to make them more user-friendly and accessible. Conducting larger randomized controlled trials will provide more robust evidence of the effectiveness of telerehabilitation in various chronic respiratory diseases. Additionally, exploring long-term maintenance effects and evaluating the economic cost of telerehabilitation will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of its impact[5,21].

In summary, previous studies have laid the groundwork for understanding the benefits and challenges of telerehabilitation in chronic respiratory diseases. By synthesizing this information and conducting further research, we can continue to improve and expand telerehabilitation programs, ultimately enhancing the lives of patients with these conditions.

Clinical outcomes and efficacy

As telerehabilitation has been increasingly applied as an innovative approach to deliver rehabilitation services remotely, many studies[2,7,9,10,18,26] have reported its clinical outcomes and efficacy. Summarizing these studies, a comprehensive review of the clinical outcomes and efficacy associated with telerehabilitation interventions, focusing on improvements in exercise capacity, quality of life, and key outcome measures compared to traditional rehabilitation programs, is presented below.

Assessment of clinical effectiveness

Telerehabilitation has emerged as a promising strategy to enhance exercise capacity in patients with chronic respiratory conditions. Several studies have highlighted its positive impact on exercise tolerance, as measured by the six-minute walk distance (6MWD)[7,9-10]. A systematic review and meta-analysis demonstrated that telerehabilitation was effective in improving exercise tolerance and patient-reported outcomes, positioning it as a valid alternative to center-based rehabilitation[9]. Another study reported that telerehabilitation was associated with significant improvements in functional capacity, self-efficacy, mental health, exacerbation rates, and emergency care visits[10].

Quality of life and dyspnea

Studies by Bonnevie et al.[2] and Vinolo et al.[10] have consistently shown that telerehabilitation contributes to enhanced quality of life and reduced dyspnea in patients with chronic respiratory diseases. Advanced telehealth technology (ATT-ET)[2] was found to improve exercise capacity, dyspnea, and overall quality of life compared to no exercise therapy. Similarly, telerehabilitation interventions demonstrated benefits similar to inpatient/outpatient rehabilitation programs[2], suggesting its potential to positively impact patients' daily lives.

Comparative analysis of telerehabilitation

In assessing the comparative effectiveness of telerehabilitation in relation to traditional rehabilitation programs, an analysis of existing studies reveals valuable quantitative and qualitative insights. Telerehabilitation has been shown to offer outcomes comparable to outpatient pulmonary rehabilitation[10]. Quantitatively, these findings are supported by improvements in key indicators such as exercise capacity, as measured by the 6MWD[2,7,9,10]. Additionally, telerehabilitation has demonstrated benefits in terms of enhanced functional capacity and self-efficacy[10].

Qualitatively, telerehabilitation programs encompass various components, including remote exercise therapy, telemonitoring, and psychosocial support[2,18]. This holistic approach addresses not only physical well-being but also mental health, contributing to the observed improvements in self-efficacy and overall quality of life[10]. However, It is necessary to recognize specific limitations in the existing literature. The quantitative evidence relies on a restricted number of studies, which may affect the strength of the conclusions drawn[10]. Furthermore, variations in rehabilitation methods across studies may introduce variability in outcomes[10,27].

To advance research in this area, future investigations should aim to expand the quantitative evidence base through more rigorous studies. Additionally, qualitative research could delve deeper into patient experiences and preferences within telerehabilitation programs, helping to refine and tailor interventions further. By addressing these limitations, researchers can contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the comparative effectiveness of telerehabilitation and its potential to enhance healthcare delivery for individuals with chronic respiratory conditions.

Key outcome measures and future directions

Telerehabilitation has ushered in a paradigm shift in the assessment and management of chronic respiratory diseases. Notably, commonly employed outcome measures such as the 6MWD, COPD Assessment Test (CAT), and health-related quality of life scores (HRQoL) have served as valuable indicators, shedding light on the feasibility and efficacy of telerehabilitation interventions[18,26]. These measures have played a important role in substantiating the potential of telerehabilitation as a viable approach in the management of chronic respiratory conditions.

Nevertheless, the current body of research underscores the need for further investigation to delineate the essential components of telerehabilitation approaches and illuminate their long-term maintenance effects[11]. While short-term gains have been observed[2,9,10,15,18,26,27], the absence of comprehensive long-term follow-up studies leaves a critical knowledge gap. In assessing the impact of telerehabilitation on chronic respiratory diseases, it is pertinent to evaluate if the improvements obtained during the intervention are sustained over time, contributing to long-term enhancements in patient outcomes.

Also, the customization of telerehabilitation interventions to cater to the distinct requirements of specific disease conditions remains an area necessitating deeper exploration[27]. Chronic respiratory diseases encompass a broad spectrum of conditions, each characterized by unique challenges and considerations. Tailoring telerehabilitation strategies to address the particular needs of individual diseases can potentially enhance their effectiveness and relevance. Equally noteworthy is the assessment of the economic feasibility of telerehabilitation strategies within the healthcare landscape[15]. Evaluating the cost-effectiveness of telerehabilitation in comparison to traditional rehabilitation programs is crucial for well-informed decision-making concerning resource allocation and healthcare policy.

In summary, while the existing body of research has provided valuable insights into the feasibility and short-term efficacy of telerehabilitation for chronic respiratory diseases[18,26,27]. Acknowledging the research gaps involves addressing several key aspects, including the need for long-term studies, the identification of critical elements, the customization of interventions, and the evaluation of economic viability[11,15]. Addressing these facets will not only expand our understanding of telerehabilitation but also enhance its potential as an integral component of chronic respiratory disease management.

The evidence supports[2,7,9,10,18,26] the use of telerehabilitation as an alternative to traditional rehabilitation approaches, particularly in situations where in-person rehabilitation is not feasible. However, ongoing research is required to refine the implementation of telerehabilitation, establish standardized protocols, and address the barriers to its widespread adoption. As technology continues to evolve, telerehabilitation holds great potential for enhancing the management and care of individuals with chronic respiratory conditions.

Barriers and challenges in telerehabilitation implementation

While there is substantial evidence supporting the benefits of telerehabilitation for providing effective rehabilitation services to individuals, the implementation of telerehabilitation is not without its challenges. Studies have highlighted a number of barriers and challenges associated with the successful implementation of telerehabilitation that need to be carefully considered, and their findings are synthesized below, together with a discussion of the strategies to overcome these hurdles and optimize patient engagement.

Barriers to effective telerehabilitation implementation

The implementation of telerehabilitation faces several barriers that can impede its successful integration into healthcare systems. One significant barrier is the digital divide, characterized by uneven access to technology and the internet among different patient populations[3,10,23]. Many individuals, especially older adults and those from marginalized communities, may lack the necessary technological resources to participate in telerehabilitation programs. Addressing this barrier requires innovative solutions such as providing subsidized devices and internet connectivity to underserved populations[5,26,28].

Digital literacy is another challenge that must be acknowledged. Some patients may struggle with using digital platforms effectively, which can hinder their ability to engage in telerehabilitation programs[3,9,21]. Overcoming this challenge involves offering user-friendly interfaces and providing comprehensive training and support to patients to enhance their digital skills.

Concerns related to technology access, digital literacy, and privacy

Ensuring technology access, digital literacy, and privacy protection are fundamental considerations for successful telerehabilitation implementation. Technology access gaps can exacerbate health disparities, so efforts must be made to provide equal opportunities for all patients to engage in telerehabilitation[5,26,28]. Additionally, patients need assurance that their personal health information will be protected during virtual interactions[5,14,17]. Health organizations should implement robust cybersecurity measures and adhere to strict privacy protocols to build patient trust and confidence in telerehabilitation services.

Strategies for overcoming challenges and optimizing patient engagement

To overcome the barriers and challenges associated with telerehabilitation implementation, several strategies can be employed. First and foremost, customized solutions should be developed to bridge the digital divide, such as providing devices and technical support to individuals who lack access to technology[24,29,30]. Collaborations with community organizations and technology companies can facilitate the distribution of resources to underserved populations.

To address digital literacy concerns, user-friendly platforms with intuitive interfaces should be designed. Furthermore, comprehensive training and education programs should be offered to patients to enhance their confidence and competence in using digital tools[31-33]. Interactive tutorials and personalized support can empower patients to navigate telerehabilitation platforms effectively.

To ensure patient engagement, telerehabilitation programs should be designed with patient preferences and needs in mind. Tailoring interventions to individual patients' goals and limitations can enhance motivation and adherence[19,21,23]. Regular communication and follow-ups between healthcare providers and patients play a significant role in monitoring progress, addressing concerns, and fostering a sense of accountability[15,17,34].

It is clear from the literature that telerehabilitation holds immense potential to improve the lives of individuals with chronic respiratory conditions, but its successful implementation requires meticulous attention to the barriers and challenges it faces. By addressing issues related to technology access, digital literacy, and privacy concerns, and by adopting strategies that prioritize patient engagement and personalized care, healthcare systems can leverage the benefits of telerehabilitation to enhance the quality of life and functional capacity of patients with COPD and other chronic respiratory diseases.

Interdisciplinary collaboration and telehealth

Telehealth and telemedicine have revolutionized the way healthcare is delivered, offering innovative solutions to bridge geographical distances and enhance patient care. This section delves into the critical role of interdisciplinary collaboration in delivering effective telerehabilitation for patients with COPD and other chronic respiratory conditions. Exploring collaboration among healthcare professionals, including physicians, nurses, physiotherapists, and psychologists, enhances the delivery of comprehensive and holistic care for patients engaged in telerehabilitation.

A systematic review of telehealth technology's impact on home-based exercise therapy was performed and revealed its efficacy in improving exercise capacity, dyspnea, and overall quality of life, comparable to traditional in/outpatient exercise programs[2]. This reinforces the belief in the potential of telerehabilitation to bridge the gap between patients and healthcare professionals, making rehabilitation accessible to those who face barriers in traditional rehabilitation settings[3-37].

Interprofessional teams play a pivotal role in delivering comprehensive care remotely. Despite challenges, such as the limited feasibility of performing videoconference telerehabilitation studies in older populations despite the fact it would be so helpful during a health crisis, like during the COVID-19 pandemic[3], telerehabilitation remains a promising tool to address the needs of isolated elderly individuals and could reduce further functional decline[3,49]. Patient involvement in the design and development of telerehabilitation solutions can ensure the adoption of a patient-centered approach, which can improve empowerment and compliance[13]. This approach is particularly relevant for patients with chronic heart or lung diseases; for instance, one study found that augmented reality glasses were a valuable tool to aid in telerehabilitation for performing exercises[13].

Furthermore, evidence highlights that telehealth interventions have a positive impact on patient outcomes,

offering a viable alternative to standard care for chronic lung diseases[8,10]. The effectiveness of telerehabilitation in COPD patients is evident in improved exercise tolerance, patient-reported outcomes, and quality of life[9]. These benefits have been observed in studies with varying durations of telerehabilitation programs, typically ranging from several weeks to several months, depending on the specific study design[8-10]. However, challenges remain in defining essential elements and features of telerehabilitation approaches, as well as maintaining long-term benefits beyond the duration of the intervention[11,35].

In conclusion, interdisciplinary collaboration is fundamental in harnessing the potential of telehealth for delivering telerehabilitation to patients with chronic respiratory conditions. Telerehabilitation, through various modes, such as telemonitoring, teleconsultation, and telerehabilitation platforms, has demonstrated good efficacy and feasibility for improving patient outcomes, exercise capacity, and quality of life. While challenges remain, the evolution of telehealth technology and its integration into healthcare systems offer promising opportunities to enhance patient care and bridge geographical distances and gaps in rehabilitation services.

Future directions and implications

Telerehabilitation has emerged as a promising avenue for enhancing the support and management of patients with COPD. Through the integration of advanced telehealth technology, telerehabilitation addresses the challenges posed by limited access to traditional rehabilitation programs. As we reflected on the findings from various studies examining the potential of telerehabilitation, we identified potential future directions and implications that hold significance for the expansion of COPD support, current research gaps, and the need for an enhancement of healthcare policies and patient-centered care.

Expanding COPD support through telerehabilitation

Numerous studies have underscored the potential benefits of telerehabilitation for COPD patients[2,6,7,9-16,24,26,34,35,38,43,49]. Telerehabilitation programs, delivered remotely, have been found to improve exercise capacity, dyspnea, and quality of life[2]. Additionally, they have demonstrated equivalence with conventional outpatient pulmonary rehabilitation in terms of functional capacity, self-efficacy, mental health, exacerbations, and patient satisfaction[10]. These findings suggest that telerehabilitation could be a viable alternative, particularly for individuals who face challenges in participating in traditional center-based rehabilitation due to factors, such as geographical distance or frailty to travel[13].

Research gaps and areas for further investigation

A systematic review and meta-analysis have demonstrated the effectiveness of tele-rehabilitation in improving exercise tolerance and patient-reported outcomes[9]. However, despite these positive outcomes, the current state of research highlights several gaps that require attention. Notably, there is a gap in the need for more rigorous investigations to determine the effectiveness of telerehabilitation for specific diseases or health conditions. While the evidence supports its effectiveness for COPD, the applicability of telerehabilitation to other chronic diseases remains relatively unexplored[4]. Expanding the scope of research to encompass a broader range of health conditions would provide valuable insights into the versatility and potential limitations of telerehabilitation.

Additionally, the question of long-term maintenance benefits warrants further exploration[11]. While some studies have shown positive outcomes, there is a need for more extended follow-up periods

to assess the sustainability of improvements achieved through telerehabilitation. Longitudinal studies can shed light on whether the benefits persist over time, offering a comprehensive view of its impact on patients' lives. The lack of standardization and the varying methodologies employed across studies raise important questions about the essential elements and features of telerehabilitation programs[11]. To enhance the design and execution of these programs, it is essential to delineate the fundamental components that drive their success. By pinpointing these aspects, it becomes possible to steer the formulation of best practices and standardized protocols. Finally, as telerehabilitation continues to evolve, further studies should delve into the selection criteria for patients and the appropriate types of telerehabilitation interventions[9]. Adjusting telerehabilitation programs to align with the unique needs and characteristics of patients enhances their engagement and improves the effectiveness of the interventions.

In conclusion, while the current body of research provides promising evidence of the benefits of telerehabilitation for COPD patients, these identified research gaps highlight the need for a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding. Addressing these gaps will not only enhance the effectiveness of telerehabilitation but also expand its applicability to a wider range of health conditions, ultimately contributing to improved patient care and outcomes.

Implications for healthcare policies and practice

The integration of telerehabilitation into healthcare policies and practice holds great promise. Telerehabilitation interventions, particularly in the context of COVID-19, were proven to be effective alternatives to conventional rehabilitation, yielding positive outcomes and patient satisfaction[8]. The cost-effectiveness of telerehabilitation, along with its potential to reduce outpatient resource utilization, makes it an attractive option for healthcare systems aiming to optimize resource allocation[4]. However, challenges related to technology access and digital literacy must be addressed to ensure equitable implementation[5]. Standardized protocols for the use of telerehabilitation tools, along with education and training for healthcare providers, will be pivotal in delivering high-quality care[36].

Patient-centered care and engagement

Telerehabilitation's success hinges on its ability to provide effective patient-centered care. Patient involvement in the development of telerehabilitation solutions can help ensure that interventions are tailored to the preferences and needs of individuals with chronic diseases[13]. This approach not only enhances patient engagement but also promotes adherence to telerehabilitation programs[37]. As telerehabilitation platforms evolve, patient feedback and experiences should continue to guide their design and implementation[19].

Telerehabilitation has emerged as a promising avenue for expanding support to patients with COPD, offering an alternative to traditional rehabilitation approaches. The evidence suggests that telerehabilitation is effective in improving exercise capacity, quality of life, and patient-reported outcomes[8-10,13,19,27,36]. However, further investigation is required to identify key components of the program, bridge existing research voids, and guarantee uniform access to technology. Moving ahead, integrating telerehabilitation within healthcare policies and practices will enhance the delivery of comprehensive, patient-focused care to individuals with COPD.

Conclusion

In the rapidly evolving healthcare landscape, telerehabilitation has emerged as a promising avenue for enhancing the management of COPD patients. This article

has synthesized the key findings and insights from a range of studies that have investigated the transformative potential of telerehabilitation in COPD management, and reflected upon the implications of these findings and highlighted the calls for continued research, innovation, and the integration of telerehabilitation into healthcare systems.

The available evidence demonstrates that telerehabilitation holds promise in improving various dimensions of COPD management. Studies have explored the effectiveness of telerehabilitation programs in terms of exercise capacity, dyspnea, quality of life, and more. The advantages of telerehabilitation extend beyond these outcomes, as telerehabilitation gained extra prominence during the COVID-19 pandemic for supporting patients through providing remote care. Telerehabilitation offers accessibility and convenience, particularly for patients who face geographical challenges or are unable to participate in traditional rehabilitation programs. The benefits of telerehabilitation also extend to geriatric patients, suggesting its potential in diverse patient populations.

While the potential of telerehabilitation is evident, it is important to acknowledge the need for continued research and innovation. The variability in telerehabilitation modalities and the limited number of studies underscore the importance of refining and standardizing telerehabilitation approaches. The development of user-centered platforms that meet the preferences and needs of patients is enhancing engagement and compliance. Additionally, many studies recommend exploring the feasibility of long-term telerehabilitation programs and evaluating their cost-effectiveness.

The transformative potential of telerehabilitation is contingent upon overcoming several identified obstacles, including but not limited to issues surrounding digital literacy, privacy, security, and the requisite technological infrastructure. Overcoming these challenges requires collaboration among healthcare providers, technology experts, and policymakers. To enhance the efficacy of telerehabilitation, it is imperative to focus on the development of healthcare professionals' competencies in delivering such services, alongside fostering patient familiarity and ease with telehealth technologies.

In conclusion, telerehabilitation introduces an additional modality in COPD management, offering opportunities to improve patients' exercise capacity, quality of life, and outcomes. The accumulated evidence demonstrates its effectiveness and safety, making it a viable alternative to traditional rehabilitation approaches. Nonetheless, there is a call for continued research, innovation, and the integration of telerehabilitation into healthcare systems. To enhance the efficacy of telerehabilitation in managing COPD, it is necessary to focus on three key areas: standardizing protocols, addressing technological barriers, and broadening service accessibility. Addressing these areas will significantly advance telerehabilitation's role in COPD treatment.

References

1. Godtfredsen N, Frølich A, Bieler T, Beyer N, Kallemose T, Wilcke T, et al. 12-months follow-up of pulmonary telerehabilitation versus standard pulmonary rehabilitation: a multicentre randomised clinical trial in patients with severe COPD. Respir Med 2020;172:106129.
2. Bonnevie T, Smondack P, Elkins M, Gouel B, Medrinal C, Combret Y, et al. Advanced telehealth technology improves home-based exercise therapy for people with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review. J Physiother 2021;67:27-40.
3. Jørgensen BB, Gregersen M, Pallesen SH, Damsgaard EM. A group-based real-time videoconferencing telerehabilitation programme in recently discharged geriatric patients: a feasibility study. Eur Geriatr Med 2021;12:801-8.
4. Velayati F, Ayatollahi H, Hemmat M. A systematic review of the effectiveness of telerehabilitation interventions for therapeutic purposes in the elderly. Methods Inf Med 2020;59:104-9.
5. Barbosa MT, Sousa CS, Morais-Almeida M, Simões MJ, Mendes P. Telemedicine in COPD: an overview by topics. COPD 2020;17:601-17.

6. Satar S, Şahin ME, Karamanlı H, Demir N, Ergün P. Tele-pulmonary rehabilitation with face to face in COVID-19 pandemic: a hybrid modeling. COVID-19 pandemisinde tele-pulmoner rehabilitasyon; yüz yüze ile hibrit model. Tuberk Toraks 2023;71:58-66.
7. Cerdán-de-Las-Heras J, Balbino F, Løkke A, Catalán-Matamoros D, Hilberg O, Bendstrup E. Effect of a new telerehabilitation program versus standard rehabilitation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Clin Med 2021;11:11.
8. Sanchez-Ramirez DC, Pol M, Loewen H, Choukou MA. Effect of telemonitoring and telerehabilitation on physical activity, exercise capacity, health-related quality of life and healthcare use in patients with chronic lung diseases or COVID-19: a scoping review. J Telemed Telecare 2022;1357633X221122124.
9. Ora J, Prendi E, Attinà ML, Cazzola M, Calzetta L, Rogliani P. Efficacy of respiratory telerehabilitation in COPD patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. Monaldi Arch Chest Dis 2022;92. doi: 10.4081/monaldi.2022.2105.
10. Vinolo-Gil MJ, Herrera-Sánchez C, Martin-Vega FJ, Martín-Valero R, Gonzalez-Medina G, Pérez-Cabezas V. Efficacy of tele-rehabilitation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review. An Sist Sanit Navar 2022;45:e0999.
11. Isernia S, Pagliari C, Bianchi LNC, Banfi PI, Rossetto F, Borgnis F, et al. Characteristics, components, and efficacy of telerehabilitation approaches for people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022;19:15165.
12. Rochester CL, Alison JA, Carlin B, Jenkins AR, Cox NS, Bauldoff G, et al. Pulmonary rehabilitation for adults with chronic respiratory disease: an official american thoracic society clinical practice guideline. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2023;208:e7-26.
13. Cerdán de Las Heras J, Tulppo M, Kiviniemi AM, Hilberg O, Løkke A, Ekholm S, et al. Augmented reality glasses as a new tele-rehabilitation tool for home use: patients' perception and expectations. Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol 2022;17:480-6.
14. Zanaboni P, Dinesen B, Hoaas H, Wootton R, Burge AT, Philp R, et al. Long-term telerehabilitation or unsupervised training at home for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2023;207:865-75.
15. Galdiz JB, Gómez A, Rodriguez D, Guell R, Cebollero P, Hueto J, et al. Telerehabilitation programme as a maintenance strategy for COPD patients: a 12-month randomized clinical trial. Arch Bronconeumol (Engl Ed) 2021;57:195-204.
16. Li Y, Qian H, Yu K, Huang Y. The long-term maintenance effect of remote pulmonary rehabilitation via social media in COPD: a randomized controlled trial. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2022;17:1131-42.
17. Simonÿ C, Andersen IC, Bodtger U, Nyberg M, Birkelund R. Raised illness mastering – a phenomenological hermeneutic study of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients' experiences while participating in a long-term telerehabilitation programme. Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol 2022;17:594-601.
18. Reychler G, Piraux E, Beaumont M, Caty G, Liistro G. Telerehabilitation as a form of pulmonary rehabilitation in chronic lung disease: a systematic review. Healthcare (Basel) 2022;10:1795.
19. Gabriel AS, Parvanova I, Finkelstein J. Patient perspectives on long-term use of a pulmonary telerehabilitation platform: a qualitative analysis. Stud Health Technol Inform 2023;302:982-6.
20. Simonÿ C, Neerup Andersen M, Hansen RG, Schrøder L, Jensen TG, Bodtger U, et al. Shaping better rehabilitation to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: experiences of nurses and colleagues with an interdisciplinary telerehabilitation intervention. Glob Qual Nurs Res 2022;9:23333936221109890.
21. Fekete M, Fazekas-Pongor V, Balazs P, Tarantini S, Nemeth AN, Varga JT. Role of new digital technologies and telemedicine in pulmonary rehabilitation: smart devices in the treatment of chronic respiratory diseases. Wien Klin Wochenschr 2021;133:1201-7.
22. Rossetto F, Borgnis F, Isernia S, Foglia E, Garagiola E, Realdon O, et al. System integrated digital empowering and teleRehabilitation to promote patient activation and well-being in chronic disabilities: a usability and acceptability study. Front Public Health 2023;11:1154481.
23. Skibdal KM, Emme C, Hansen H. Listen to Me! – A Mixed-methods study of thoughts and attitudes towards participation in pulmonary telerehabilitation among people with severe and very severe COPD who declined participation in pulmonary rehabilitation. Patient Prefer Adherence 2022;16:2781-98.
24. Tsutsui M, Gerayeli F, Sin DD. Pulmonary rehabilitation in a post-COVID-19 world: telerehabilitation as a new standard

in patients with COPD. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2021;16:379–91.
25. Cox NS, Khor YH. Telerehabilitation in pulmonary diseases. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2023;29:313–21.
26. Zhang L, Maitinuer A, Lian Z, Li Y, Ding W, Wang W, et al. Home based pulmonary Telerehabilitation under telemedicine system for COPD: a cohort study. BMC Pulm Med 2022;22:284.
27. Cox NS, Dal Corso S, Hansen H, McDonald CF, Hill CJ, Zanaboni P, et al. Telerehabilitation for chronic respiratory disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2021;1:CD013040.
28. Taito S, Yamauchi K, Kataoka Y. Telerehabilitation in subjects with respiratory disease: a scoping review. Respir Care 2021;66:686–98.
29. Cordani C, Young VM, Arienti C, Lazzarini SG, Del Furia MJ, Negrini S, et al. Cognitive impairment, anxiety and depression: a map of Cochrane evidence relevant to rehabilitation for people with post COVID-19 condition. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med 2022;58:880–7.
30. Rutkowski S. Management challenges in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the COVID-19 pandemic: telehealth and virtual reality. J Clin Med 2021;10:1261.
31. Malik S, Dua R, Krishnan AS, Kumar S, Kumar S, Neyaz O, et al. Exercise capacity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease treated with tele-yoga versus tele-pulmonary rehabilitation: a pilot validation study. Cureus 2022;14:e30994.
32. Simonÿ C, Riber C, Bodtger U, Birkelund R. Striving for confidence and satisfaction in everyday life with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: rationale and content of the telerehabilitation programme >C ☺ PD-Life>>. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019;16:3320.
33. Donner CF, ZuWallack R, Nici L. The role of telemedicine in extending and enhancing medical management of the patient with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Medicina (Kaunas) 2021;57:726.
34. Bairapareddy KC, Chandrasekaran B, Agarwal U. Telerehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: an underrecognized management in tertiary care. Indian J Palliat Care 2018;24:529–33.
35. Uche-Okoye D, Ajemba MN, Amy B, Arene EC, Ugo CH, Eze NP, et al. Is telerehabilitation an effective maintenance strategy for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases: a systematic review. Bull Natl Res Cent 2023;47:13.
36. Madawala S, Quach A, Lim JY, Varatharaj S, Perera B, Osadnik C, et al. Healthcare experience of adults with COPD during the COVID-19 pandemic: a rapid review of international literature. BMJ Open Respir Res 2023;10:e001514.
37. Cox NS, Lee JYT, McDonald CF, Mahal A, Alison JA, Wootton R, et al. Perceived autonomy support in telerehabilitation by people with chronic respiratory disease: a mixed methods study. Chest 2023;163:1410–24.
38. Hansen H, Bieler T, Beyer N, Kallemose T, Wilcke JT, Østergaard LM, et al. Supervised pulmonary telerehabilitation versus pulmonary rehabilitation in severe COPD: a randomised multicentre trial. Thorax 2020;75:413–21.
39. Santos CD, Rodrigues F, Caneiras C, Bárbara C. From inception to implementation: strategies for setting up pulmonary telerehabilitation. Front Rehabil Sci 2022;3:830115.
40. Alqahtani JS, Alghamdi SM, Aldhahir AM, Althobiani M, Oyelade T. Key toolkits of non-pharmacological management in COPD: during and beyond COVID-19. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed) 2021;26:246–52.
41. Hansen H, Torre A, Kallemose T, Ulrik CS, Godtfredsen NS. Pulmonary telerehabilitation vs. conventional pulmonary rehabilitation – a secondary responder analysis. Thorax 2023;thorax-2023-220065.
42. Barbosa MT, Sousa CS, Morais-Almeida M. Telemedicine in the management of chronic obstructive respiratory diseases: an overview. In: Linwood SL, ed. Digital Health. Brisbane (AU): Exon Publications; 2022.
43. Cox NS, McDonald CF, Mahal A, Alison JA, Wootton R, Hill CJ, et al. Telerehabilitation for chronic respiratory disease: a randomised controlled equivalence trial. Thorax 2022;77:643–51.
44. Wickerson L, Helm D, Gottesman C, Rozenberg D, Singer LG, Keshavjee S, et al. Telerehabilitation for lung transplant candidates and recipients during the COVID-19 pandemic: program evaluation. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2021;9:e28708.
45. Liu S, Zhao Q, Li W, Zhao X, Li K. The cost-effectiveness of pulmonary rehabilitation for COPD in different settings: a systematic review. Appl Health Econ Health Policy 2021;19:313–24.
46. Leslie S, Tan J, McRae PJ, O'leary SP, Adsett JA. The effectiveness of exercise interventions supported by telerehabilitation for recently hospitalized adult medical patients: a systematic review. Int J Telerehabil 2021;13:e6356.

47. Bairapareddy KC, Alaparthi GK, Jitendra RS, Prathiksha Rao PP, Shetty V, Chandrasekaran B. "We are so close; yet too far": perceived barriers to smartphone-based telerehabilitation among healthcare providers and patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in India. Heliyon 2021;7:e07857.
48. Morimoto Y, Takahashi T, Sawa R, Saitoh M, Morisawa T, Kagiyama N, et al. Web portals for patients with chronic diseases: scoping review of the functional features and theoretical frameworks of telerehabilitation platforms. J Med Internet Res 2022;24:e27759.
49. Rawal H, Cornelison SD, Flynn SM, Ohar JA. Will remotely based pulmonary rehabilitation water down its effectiveness?. Life (Basel) 2021;11:1270.