Physical Violence During Pregnancy and Associated Factors of Mental Distress Among Women in Yangon Region, Myanmar: A Secondary Analysis of a Cross–Sectional Study

Win Thuzar Aye, Ph.D.¹, Zaw Myo Hein, Ph.D.², Matteo Botta, M.Sc.³, Espen Bjertness, Ph.D.³, Tippawan Liabsuetrakul, M.D., Ph.D.¹

¹Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkha 90110, Thailand.

²Department of Basic Medical Science, College of Medicine, Ajman University, Ajman 346, United Arab Emirates.

³Department of Community Medicine and Global Health, Institute of Health and Society, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo 0313, Norway.

Received 9 November 2023 • Revised 9 January 2024 • Accepted 15 January 2024 • Published online 7 June 2024

Abstract:

Objective: Violence against women during pregnancy is a critical human rights issue and a significant global public health concern. The study aimed to assess the prevalence of physical violence during any pregnancies and mental distress by ever-pregnant women, investigate the association between physical violence and mental distress, and explore additional factors linked to mental distress.

Material and Methods: This secondary analysis of household–based cross–sectional study included 1,045 ever–pregnant women aged 18–49 years from Yangon Region, Myanmar, interviewed between October and November 2016. Physical violence and mental distress were assessed utilizing the standardized Demography and Health Survey questionnaire and the Hopkins Symptom Checklist–10, respectively. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used for association between physical violence and mental distress.

Results: We found that 6.4% (95% CI 5.1% to 8.1%) of the women had experienced physical violence during pregnancy and 34.1% (95% CI 31.3% to 37.0%) had suffered mental distress. We identified no significant association between physical violence during pregnancy and mental distress. Women residing in an urban area, having more than three children, experiencing family debt, having poor health status, having a partner with controlling behavior, and having a partner who consumed alcohol were significantly associated with mental distress.

© 2024 JHSMR. Hosted by Prince of Songkla University. All rights reserved.

J Health Sci Med Res 2025;43(1):e20241062 doi: 10.31584/jhsmr.20241062 www.jhsmr.org

Contact: Win Thuzar Aye, Ph.D. Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla 90110, Thailand. E-mail: winthuzaraye@gmail.com

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://www.jhsmr.org/index.php/jhsmr/about/editorialPolicies#openAccessPolicy).

Conclusion: One in 16 women in Yangon, Myanmar, had experienced physical violence during a pregnancy, while approximately one-third of the women had suffered from mental distress. It is a problem of serious concern in many countries to screen pregnant women for risk factors associated with mental distress during their antenatal care and prepare the appropriate mental health services for them.

Keywords: mental health, Myanmar, physical violence, pregnancy

Introduction

Violence against women (VAW) is driven by gender inequality, and countries across the globe have made a commitment to the the Sustainable Development Goals to eliminate VAW¹. A pregnant woman is vulnerable as the target of violence which can refer to physical, sexual or emotional violence by a current or former partner or anyone, and which often starts during pregnancy^{2,3}. Physical violence, including beating, burning, kicking, punching, biting, maiming or killing, often with the use of objects or weapons, is common during pregnancy³. Recent studies have revealed varying rates of physical violence during pregnancy by intimate partners across different countries. The global prevalence was found to be 9.3%⁴, with rates of 7.4% in Thailand⁵, 25% in Indonesia⁶, 3.5% in Vietnam⁷, and 12.9% in Malaysia⁸.

Physical violence does not only affect physical health but also mental health³. The consequences of physical violence on mental health during pregnancy may be of particular importance because it affects not only the woman, but the unborn child and any other children in the family^{3,9}. The most common presentation of mental health problems due to physical violence is mental distress¹⁰, which is a state of health experienced by individuals with mental health problems, and it includes symptoms of anxiety and depression¹¹. According to a systematic review and meta-analysis study conducted in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), the prevalence rates of depression and

anxiety during pregnancy were estimated to be $25.5\%^{12}$ and $13.1\%^{13}$, respectively. Another literature review focusing on Southeast Asian countries reported a wide range of prevalence rates for depression during pregnancy, ranging from 4.9% to $46.8\%^{14}$.

Numerous studies have consistently demonstrated that women who experience physical violence during pregnancy are at a significantly higher risk of developing mental distress compared to those who are not exposed to such violence^{9,10,15}. Some studies suggest that the association between physical violence during pregnancy and mental distress may vary depending on the severity of the violence^{16,17}. Other studies have documented that mental distress among pregnant women can be influenced by a range of risk factors which encompass various domains, including traumatic life events, chronic physical health conditions, substance abuse, lack of social support, and socioeconomic factors^{15,18-20}.

Physical violence during pregnancy in Myanmar is influenced by various cultural, social, and economic factors of which traditional male-dominated norms may reinforce their control of their partners, which can lead to physical violence²¹. Pregnant women are a vulnerable population who need special care during their pregnancy period, not only for physical health but also for mental health. However, mental health problems are not routinely screened for pregnant women in antenatal care visits in most LMICS like Myanmar. Understanding the magnitude of physical violence

and mental health among pregnant women in Myanmar will be useful for providing evidence-based information on the need for mental health services. The objectives of this secondary analysis of a cross-sectional study were to estimate the prevalence of physical violence during any pregnancies and mental distress among 18-49-year-old ever-pregnant women in Yangon, Myanmar, investigate the association between physical violence and mental distress, and explore additional factors linked to mental distress.

Material and Methods

Study design, setting and sample

This secondary analysis used the data from a household-based cross-sectional study entitled "Domestic violence and mental health in the Myanmar population" among men and women aged 18-49 years conducted from October to November 2016 in the northern and southern districts of the Yangon Region of Myanmar using a multistage sampling procedure²². In the northern district, there were 125 wards (urban subunits of a township) and 235 villages (rural subunits of a township), while the southern district had 110 wards and 375 villages. A total of 16 wards and 16 villages were selected for this original study. The sample size of the original study was calculated to estimate the prevalence of domestic violence among married women (21%), as reported by the Myanmar Demographic and Health Survey (MDHS, 2015-2016), resulting in a total of 2,400 men and women being included in the study²³.

For this current analysis, ever-pregnant women aged 18-49 years from the original study were included, leading to 1,045 pregnant women living in both urban and rural areas in the northern and southern districts of the Yangon Region of Myanmar. We did not initially calculate the required sample size for the objectives of this secondary analysis. However, the sample of pregnant women analysed was sufficient based on a 3% rate of physical violence during pregnancy among ever-married women from the 2015–2016 MDHS dataset²³ with a statistical power of 97%.

Study variables

Mental distress was assessed using the Hopkins Symptom Checklist-10 (HSCL-10) questionnaire, which consists of ten items that evaluate symptoms of anxiety and depression experienced over the past seven days^{22,24}. Among the ten items, four items are related to anxiety and six items are related to depression. These symptoms include sudden feelings of panic without any apparent reason, sudden feelings of fear or anxiety, dizziness or faintness, feelings of tension or being harassed, excessive self-criticism, sleeplessness, feelings of depression or dejection, a sense of worthlessness or insignificance, perceiving everything as burdensome, and a feeling of hopelessness for the future. Participants respond to these questions on a four-point scale, ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (extremely). The HSCL-10 questionnaire is widely recognized internationally and serves as a widely used screening tool for assessing symptoms related to anxiety and depression²⁴. The cut-off point for mental distress among pregnant women is set at a lower threshold due to their increased vulnerability to developing mental health issues compared to the general population. Therefore, we used an average score ≥1.6 as the cut-off score for suffering from mental distress based on a previous study which measured its validity and reliability²⁴.

Physical violence during pregnancy was the main exposure of interest and was defined as physical abuse (ever) during pregnancy if any of the following conditions were met: being slapped, hit, beaten, or punched or kicked in the abdomen while pregnant.

Age (in years) of the respondents was categorised into age groups of 18–29, 30–39 and 40–49. Residence was classified into urban or rural areas. For the educational

levels of respondents and partners, we categorised the number of years at school into three separate groups: under and equal to 5 years, 6–11 years and more than 11 years of schooling. The number of children was classified into one child, 2 or 3 children, or four or more children. For family debt status, we classified having debt as "yes" and not having debt as "no".

Self-reported health status was based on the question: 'In general, how would you characterize your current health?' The response options were poor, not very good, good and very good. The variable was dichotomized as 'poor physical health' (poor, not very good) or 'good physical health' (good, very good). Regarding perceived justification of partner violence, the indicators included the following: in your opinion, does a man have a good reason to hit his wife if: she does not complete her household work to his satisfaction, she disobeys him, she refuses to have sexual relations with him, she asks him whether he has other girlfriends. If the respondents answered 'yes' to at least one of the above-mentioned items, it was referred to as perceived justification for partner violence. The responses were categorized as "yes" and "no". If the partner committed at least one of the following acts: preventing the respondent from seeing friends, restricting her contact with family members, insisting on knowing where the respondent is at all times, or becoming angry when the respondent talks with other men, the partner's behaviour was classified as 'controllina'.

Data collection

In the original cross-sectional study, 12 field data collectors were trained in a two-day workshop by a principal investigator and the research team on the linkages between gender, violence and health, building rapport with respondents, ensuring privacy, and giving hotline information when the respondents required help from the organization. A pilot survey was conducted on 54 households at a township not included in the study areas.

The research team visited the household for the data collection. Before each interview began, the voluntary nature, scope and risks and benefits of the study were explained to the interviewee, and subsequent written informed consent was obtained. The participants were informed of the sensitive content of some of the questions, and informed that they could decline to answer any given question, and that they could withdraw from the study at any time. The interviewee was also informed of the confidentiality of the process. In this study, the women were interviewed only by women. All interviews were conducted using the structured study questionnaires.

Ethical considerations

The original study was approved by the Norwegian Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics (2016/1195) and in Myanmar by the University of Public Health and Ministry of Health and Sports. All participants signed an informed consent form. This proposal for a secondary analysis was approved by the Human Ethics Research Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University. The identity of the study participants was encrypted in the data used for analysis.

Statistical analyses

The data were analysed using R version 4.2.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2022). Descriptive statistics were used to analyse means and standard deviations, frequencies, and percents/proportions of all variables. Bivariate associations between mental distress as the outcome variable and other categorical factors as independent variables were analyzed using Chi-square test. The independent variables with p-value<0.2 in the bivariate analyses were employed in the first model

of multivariable logistic regression and then the stepwise backward method was used to identify significant factors using p-value<0.05 in the final model with the Hosmer-Lemeshow test for good model fitness²⁵.

We constructed a nomogram of significant factors associated with mental distress using the Regression Modelling Strategies (RMS) package of the R program. A bootstrapping approach with 1,000 resamples was performed to internally validate the nomogram. The predicted value of independent variables to mental distress in the fitted logistic regression model was transformed into an individual probability of getting mental distress. We developed a mental distress screening mobile app by utilizing Google AppSheet. The app was specifically designed to operate offline on mobile devices running on the Android operating system.

Results

A total of 1,045 participants were included in this study. The women's and partner's mean ages were 36±7.6 (S.D.) years and 39±8.5 years, respectively. The cut-off score of 1.6 for mental distress identified 356 women with mental distress, a prevalence of 34.1% (95% CI 31.3% to 37.0%). Table 1 presents the background information of the participating women and their partners. The prevalence of physical violence during pregnancy was 6.4% (95% CI 5.1% to 8.1%). In bivariate analysis, participants with the following traits: aged 40-49 years, urban residence, ≥5 years of schooling, married. having debt, perceived justification of partner violence, and poor self-reported health status were at significantly increased risk of mental distress compared to participants without those traits. Additionally, women whose partners displayed controlling behavior and women whose partners consumed alcohol reported higher risks of mental distress compared with women whose partners lacked these characteristics.

Women who were exposed to physical violence during pregnancy had increased odds of mental distress in bivariate analysis, but the significance disappeared after controlling for covariates in multivariate analysis (adjusted OR 1.35, 95% CI 0.79 to 2.33, p-value=0.274). We found that women who lived in an urban area had a significantly higher risk of mental distress than women who lived in a rural area (adjusted OR 1.68, 95% CI 1.26 to 2.22, p-value<0.001). Having four or more children was associated with the highest risk of mental distress, with an adjusted OR of 2.31 (95% CI 1.51 to 3.52, p-value<0.001), compared to having only one child. Family's debt, poor self-reported health status, a controlling partner, or an alcohol-consuming partner were all associated with a higher risk of mental distress for the woman compared to those who did have these factors (Table 2).

The nomogram illustrates the correlations between the different risk factors and the likelihood of experiencing mental distress, with each risk factor assigned a specific point value (Figure 1). The total points for all variables were calculated, and the corresponding value on the "total points" line was identified. Finally, a straight line was drawn from the total point value to the bottom line, providing the risk assessment for mental distress. Based on the analysis of the nomogram, the risk of mental distress ranged from 0.05 to 0.80, and the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was determined to be 0.72. The calibration curve for the nomogram had a mean absolute error of 0.012.

The mobile app (Figure 2) was designed to show the probability of mental distress, utilizing the variables from the nomogram to execute the calculations. By inputting an individual's data into the app, it calculates the probability of their risk for mental distress.

Variable	Total (N=1,045)	*Ment	*p-value		
		Yes (n=356)	No (n=689)	—	
Age group (years)				0.017	
18–29	233 (22.3)	68 (19.1)	165 (23.9)		
30-39	434 (41.5)	139 (39.0)	295 (42.8)		
40-49	378 (36.2)	149 (41.9)	229 (33.2)		
Residence				0.004	
Rural	561 (53.7)	169 (47.5)	392 (56.9)		
Urban	484 (46.3)	187 (52.5)	297 (43.1)		
Occupation				0.129	
Unemployed	511 (48.9)	167 (46.9)	344 (49.9)		
Unskilled worker	151 (14.4)	63 (17.7)	88 (12.8)		
Skilled worker	37 (3.5)	15 (4.2)	22 (3.2)		
Business	346 (33.1)	111 (31.2)	235 (34.1)		
Years of schooling				<0.001	
≤5	499 (47.8)	207 (58.2)	292 (42.4)		
6–11	439 (42.0)	118 (33.1)	321 (46.6)		
>11	107 (10.2)	31 (8.7)	76 (11.0)		
Marital status		· · /	· · · ·	0.002	
Married	970 (92.8)	318 (89.3)	652 (94.6)		
Divorced/separated/widow	75 (7.2)	38 (10.7)	37 (5.4)		
Number of children		()		<0.001	
1	330 (31.6)	88 (24.7)	242 (35.1)		
2-3	555 (53.1)	183 (51.4)	372 (54.0)		
≥4	160 (15.3)	85 (23.9)	75 (10.9)		
Family's debt ^b		· · · ·	× ,	<0.001	
No	380 (36.4)	90 (25.3)	290 (42.2)		
Yes	664 (63.6)	266 (74.7)	398 (57.8)		
Perceived justification of partner violence	(),	× ,	~ /	0.015	
No	646 (61.8)	202 (56.7)	444 (64.4)		
Yes	399 (38.2)	154 (43.3)	245 (35.6)		
Self-reported health	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	× ,	× ,	<0.001	
Good health	550 (52.6)	141 (39.6)	409 (59.4)		
Poor health	495 (47.4)	215 (60.4)	280 (40.6)		
Partner's years of schooling ^c	(),	()	~ /	0.089	
≤5	372 (36.4)	139 (40.8)	233 (34,2)		
6–11	522 (51.1)	166 (48.7)	356 (52.3)		
>11	128 (12.5)	36 (10.6)	92 (13.5)		
Partner with controlling behaviors	- (- /			<0.001	
No	657 (62.9)	181 (50.8)	476 (69.2)		
Yes	387 (37.1)	175 (49.2)	212 (30.8)		
Physical violence during pregnancy			()	0.007	
No	978 (93.6)	323 (90.7)	655 (95.1)		
Yes	67 (6.4)	33 (9.3)	34 (4.9)		
Partner consume alcohol	()	50 (0.0)	0. ()	<0.001	
No	384 (36.7)	92 (25.8)	292 (42.4)		
Yes	661 (63.3)	264 (74.2)	397 (57.6)		

Table 1 Background information of participating study women and their partners

*p-value were generated from chi-squared test

^aMental distress, HSCL-10 score (<1.6=No, 1.6=Yes), ^b1 missing due to refusal to answer, ^c23 missing due to respondent did not know

	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value
Experienced Physical violence during pregnancy (ref: no)	1.96 (1.19, 3.23)	1.35 (0.79, 2.33)	0.274
Residence (ref: rural)			
Urban	1.45 (1.12, 1.88)	1.68 (1.26, 2.22)	<0.001
Number of children (ref: 1)			
2-3	1.36 (1.01, 1.84)	1.21 (0.87, 1.66)	0.255
≥4	3.12 (2.10, 4.63)	2.31 (1.51, 3.52)	<0.001
Family's debt (ref: no)	2.16 (1.63, 2.86)	1.95 (1.43, 2.66)	<0.001
Poor self-reported health (ref: good)	2.23 (1.72, 2.9)	2.22 (1.68, 2.93)	<0.001
Partner with controlling behavior			
(ref: no)	2.17 (1.66, 2.82)	1.85 (1.39, 2.46)	<0.001
Partner consumes alcohol (ref: no)	2.12 (1.60, 2.81)	2.03 (1.49, 2.73)	<0.001

Table 2 Multivariate analysis of associations between physical violence during pregnancy and mental distress

ref, reference category.

Deinte	Q	1	0 2	0	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Points				Y	es (sco	re=36)						
Physical.violence.during.pre	egnancy r			-	(,						
Basida and	No (sco	ore=0)					Urbar	n (score=6	52)			
Residence	Dural (a)		2-3 (score=2	2)							
Number.of.children	Rurai (Si	core=0)			-/							
	1 (sco	re=0)							Yes (s	core=80) >=4 (score=100)
Debt	No. (as										oor (sco	ore=95)
Self.reported.health		ore=0)										
Posta a sector libra habar i a	Good (s	core=0)						Yes	(score=	=74)		
Partner.controlling.benavior	No (oo								Ye	s (score	=84)	
Partner.alcohol.consumption		bre=0)									0.17	
	No (sco	ore=0)										
Total Points	1											
Linear Predictor		50	100	150	200	250	300 3	50 400	450	. 500	550	600
	-3	-2.5	-2	-1.5	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	
Risk of mental distress	0.05					<u> </u>		0.0	~ 7			
	0.05	0	.1	0.2	0.	3 0.4	4 0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8		

Figure 1 Nomogram for predicting risk of mental distress among pregnant women

Discussion

One out of every 16 of the study women had experienced physical violence during pregnancy and one-third of the women currently had mental distress. Physical violence during pregnancy was associated with higher odds of having mental distress in the univariate but not in the multivariate logistic regression. We found that other significant factors including women living in an urban area, having four or more children, having family's debt, having poor self-reported health status, having a partner with controlling behaviour, or a partner who drank alcohol, increased the risk of mental distress. All of these factors were incorporated into the nomogram, which serves as a comprehensive tool for assessing the probability of the risk of mental distress in pregnant women.

Aye WT, et al.

Physical Violence During Pregnancy and Mental Distress



Figure 2 Screenshot of application for prediction of mental distress in mobile PHONE application²⁸

The prevalence was within the range of 2% to 35% reported in a recent systematic review and meta-analysis which included 24 studies of intimate partner violence during pregnancy in low- and middle-income countries.⁹ Our study rate was higher than in Vietnam⁷ and a previous report from Myanmar (1%)²³, but lower when compared to the reports from Thailand⁵, Indonesia⁶, and Malaysia⁸. Comparing reported prevalence rates of physical violence during pregnancy can be difficult due to differences in definitions and methodology used in different studies, as well as variations in the socio-cultural context of the study populations^{3,4,9}. The underestimation of physical violence may be due to the previously reported finding that women in Myanmar believe that physical violence during pregnancy is a normal aspect of married life, and acceptance of it as a

private matter, so they are reluctant to report such violence and thus the full incidence may be underestimated²¹.

Our finding of the prevalence of mental distress among pregnant women in Myanmar was lower than studies from South Africa $(38.6\%)^{26}$ and Brazil $(43.1\%)^{10}$, where the 20-item Self-Report Questionnaire (SRQ-20) was used to assess mental distress in pregnancy. Both studies applied the summed score of less than or equal to seven as non-distress and a score equal to or greater than eight as mental distress. On the other hand, our study found a remarkably higher prevalence of mental distress compared to Vietnam $(5.0\%)^7$ or Thailand $(18.9\%)^{18}$ and a review study from low-and middle-income countries¹². These variations in prevalence rates may be attributed to the differences in study design, methodology, and socio-demographic factors that influence the reporting and assessment of mental distress^{9,12–14}. The study women in Myanmar had been living with a long-term political crisis, low socio-economic status, natural disasters and migration, co-morbid diseases such as hypertension and diabetes, and in some cases exposure to domestic violence that may have heightened the risk of mental distress^{15,27}.

We found a significant association between physical violence during pregnancy and mental distress in univariate analysis, as was also found in a study conducted in Eastern Ethiopia¹⁶. However, it was not statistically significant in multivariate analysis which could be explained by levels of severity of physical violence during pregnancy¹⁶ and depression or anxiety symptoms declining after childbirth due to resilience and social support^{10,16}.

Our study found that having family debt had a significant association with mental distress among the pregnant women. An earlier research study explored the link between debt and depression, indicating a clear and plausible connection between the two and also noted the additional contribution of poverty with financial hardship to the occurrence of mental distress¹⁹. Our study also found that poor self-reported health status was significantly associated with mental distress, as reported in a previous study²⁰. Although the study on which our study was based did not examine this factor, chronic illnesses such as diabetes or hypertension can lead to feelings of frustration and contribute to mental distress. Moreover, financial difficulties can also be related to poor health status. Selfreported health and mental distress were measured together in other study, which found that women with a bad mood is more likely to report poor physical health²⁸.

A significant association between a partner's alcohol consumption and mental distress among pregnant women found in our study was aligned with a study conducted in Ethiopia, which found a strong correlation between partner's drinking and mental distress¹⁵. Women whose partners consume alcohol experienced higher rates of violence and perceive their partners as being less responsible within the family, leading to increased risk of mental distress among these women. Similar to our study, in a prior study involving adult women in Myanmar, it was found that those who reported experiencing controlling behaviors from their partners were more likely to be exposed to intimate partner violence and experience mental health issues compared to women who did not have partners displaying controlling behaviors²². One study found that the partner's controlling behaviour in Myanmar is established in traditional norms of male superiority and gender inequality²¹.

The nomogram we developed incorporates all significant factors identified in our study as being associated with mental distress to estimate and visualize the probability of a woman experiencing mental distress and to calculate a risk score based on multiple variables. Despite physical violence during pregnancy not being statistically significant, we included it in the nomogram due to its importance as a risk factor for mental distress among pregnant women, affecting both the well-being of the mother and the child. The nomogram developed in this study demonstrates a good level of discrimination, as indicated by its relatively high discrimination value of 0.72. This means that the nomogram has a strong ability to distinguish between individuals who are at different levels of risk for mental distress. Furthermore, the low mean absolute error of 0.012 suggests that the predicted probabilities provided by the nomogram are highly accurate and closely aligned with the actual observed outcomes. These performance metrics are consistent with findings from a previous study conducted in China, which found a similar nomogram had good reliability and robustness across different populations²⁹.

A mobile app created based on our nomogram can provide respondents with a personalized and interactive tool for assessing various factors and making prediction of risk of mental distress³⁰. The app allows the respondents to input their relevant data and it displays the probability of the respondent experiencing mental distress. Additionally, the mobile app is based on a nomogram for assessing the risk of mental distress and can be a valuable tool for healthcare professionals and individuals seeking to monitor and manage their mental well-being.

This secondary analysis had some limitations. First, the original study employed a cross-sectional design which did not allow for causality inference, and this could be interpreted as a limiting factor. Second, the retrospective nature of the original study's data collection on the experience of physical violence during pregnancy might have introduced recall bias and subjective assessment by self-reported health status. However, pregnant women may feel more vulnerable, and violent events during their pregnancy may stand out in their memories. Third, both physical violence and mental distress are sensitive issues which might have introduced social desirability and/or non-disclosure bias, leading to under-estimations of the observed associations. The original study tried to minimise non-disclosure by ensuring anonymity and confidentiality, empathetic interviewer training, same-sex interviewers, and private interviews. Fourth, the findings from this study were limited to one province in Myanmar and thus are not necessarily generalisable to other parts of the country. Finally, the original survey of this study was conducted in 2016 so the data may not be applicable to the current situation. However, from 2020 until the present, the country faced the COVID-19 pandemic and ongoing unrest situations, and this study used the most recent data from a community survey for assessing physical violence and mental distress among pregnant women of the country.

Further research should be conducted in the area of physical violence and mental distress and their impact on women's mental health with diverse populations and more robust methodologies, which could provide valuable insights and contribute to the existing body of knowledge.

Conclusion

In our study, we found that one in 16 pregnant women had experienced physical violence and one-third of women suffered mental distress in Yangon region, Myanmar. Additionally, our study highlights physical violence during pregnancy as a potential risk factor for mental distress, alongside other important factors such as urban residence, multiparity, family's debt, poor physical health, partner's controlling behavior, and partner's alcohol consumption. These findings support the need for comprehensive efforts, including legislation, prevention programs, and mental health screening, to address the complex issue of mental distress during pregnancy and ensure the well-being of pregnant women.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thanks the women who graciously agreed to participate in this study. We gratefully thank Mr. David Patterson of the International Affairs Office, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University for English editing. We also acknowledge to the fellowship support from IIE–Scholar Rescue Fund.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

References

- Babu BV, Kusuma YS. Violence against women and girls in the Sustainable Development Goals. Health Promot Perspect 2017;7:1–3. doi: 10.15171/hpp.2017.01.
- Priya A, Chaturvedi S, Bhasin SK, Bhatia MS, Radhakrishnan G. Are pregnant women also vulnerable to domestic violence? a community based enquiry for prevalence and predictors of

domestic violence among pregnant women. J Fam Med Prim Care 2019;8:1575–9. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_115_19.

- García-Moreno C, Jansen H, Ellsberg M, Heise L, Watts C. WHO Multi Country Study on Women's Health and Domestic Violence Against Women. Book WHO Multi-Ctry Study Womens Health Domest Violence Women. 2005;204.
- Román-Gálvez RM, Martín-Peláez S, Fernández-Félix BM, Zamora J, Khan KS, Bueno-Cavanillas A. Worldwide prevalence of intimate partner violence in pregnancy. a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Public Health 2021;9:738459.
- Boonnate N, Tiansawad S, Chareonsanti J, Thungjaroenkul P. Factors predicting intimate partner violence during pregnancy among Thai pregnant women. Pac Rim Int J Nurs Res 2015;19:218–31.
- Ermiati E, Widiasih R, Arifin H, Mediani HS. Unwanted pregnancy in Indonesia: prevalence and decision making. Br J Midwifery 2022;30:626–35. doi: 10.12968/bjom.2022.30.11.626.
- Tho Nhi T, Hanh NTT, Hinh ND, Toan NV, Gammeltoft T, Rasch V, et al. Intimate Partner violence among pregnant women and postpartum depression in Vietnam: a longitudinal study. BioMed Res Int 2019;2019:4717485.
- Khaironisak H, Zaridah S, Hasanain FG, Zaleha MI. Prevalence, risk factors, and complications of violence against pregnant women in a hospital in peninsular Malaysia. Women Health 2017;57:919–41.
- Halim N, Beard J, Mesic A, Patel A, Henderson D, Hibberd P. Intimate partner violence during pregnancy and perinatal mental disorders in low and lower middle income countries: a systematic review of literature, 1990–2017. Clin Psychol Rev 2018;66:117–35. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2017.11.004.
- Ludermir AB, Valongueiro S, Araújo TVB de. Common mental disorders and intimate partner violence in pregnancy. Rev Saude Publica 2014;48:29–35.
- de Waal MWM, Arnold IA, Spinhoven P, Eekhof JAH, van Hemert AM. The reporting of specific physical symptoms for mental distress in general practice. J Psychosom Res 2005;59:89–95.
- Roddy Mitchell A, Gordon H, Lindquist A, Walker SP, Homer CSE, Middleton A, et al. Prevalence of perinatal depression in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 2023;80:425–31 doi: 10.1001/ jamapsychiatry.2023.0069.

- Woody CA, Ferrari AJ, Siskind DJ, Whiteford HA, Harris MG. A systematic review and meta-regression of the prevalence and incidence of perinatal depression. J Affect Disord 2017;219:86–92.
- Hong SA, Buntup D. Maternal depression during pregnancy and postpartum period among the association of southeast asian nations (ASEAN) countries: a scoping review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2023;20:5023. doi: 10.3390/ijerph20065023.
- Ayele S, Alemayehu M, Fikadu E, Tarekegn GE. Prevalence and associated factors of depression among pregnant mothers who had intimate partner violence during pregnancy attending antenatal care at gondar university hospital northwest Ethiopia in 2020. BioMed Res Int 2021;2021:9965289. doi: 10.1155/2021/9965289.
- Ashenafi W, Mengistie B, Egata G, Berhane Y. The role of intimate partner violence victimization during pregnancy on maternal postpartum depression in Eastern Ethiopia. SAGE Open Med. 2021;9:2050312121989493.
- Budhathoki N, Dahal M, Bhusal S, Ojha H, Pandey S, Basnet S. Violence against women by their husband and postpartum depression. J Nepal Health Res Counc 2012;10:176–80.
- Tuksanawes P, Kaewkiattikun K, Kerdcharoen N. Prevalence and associated factors of antenatal depressive symptoms in pregnant women living in an urban area of Thailand. Int J Womens Health 2020;12:849–58. doi: 10.2147/JWH.S278872.
- Reading R, Reynolds S. Debt, social disadvantage and maternal depression. Soc Sci Med 2001;53:441–53.
- Williams G, Di Nardo F, Verma A. The relationship between self-reported health status and signs of psychological distress within European urban contexts. Eur J Public Health 2017;27(suppl_2):68–73.
- Gender Equality Nework. Behing the silence violence against women and their resilience Myanmar [homepage on the Internet]. Yangon: GEN; 2015 [cited 2023 Apr 11]. Available from: https://www.burmalibrary.org/sites/burmalibrary.org/files/ obl/docs21/GEN-2015-02-Behind_the_Silence-en-red.pdf
- Aye WT, Lien L, Stigum H, Schei B, Sundby J, Bjertness E. Domestic violence victimisation and its association with mental distress: a cross-sectional study of the Yangon Region, Myanmar. BMJ Open 2020;10:e037936.
- 23. Ministry of Health and Sports. Myanmar Demographic and Health Survey [homepage on the Internet]. Nay Pyi Taw:

MoHS and ICF; 2017 [cited 2023 May 6]. Available from: http:// dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR324/FR324.pdf

- Haavet OR, Sirpal MK, Haugen W, Christensen KS. Diagnosis of depressed young people in primary health care—a validation of HSCL-10. Fam Pract 2011;28:233–7.
- Paul P, Pennell ML, Lemeshow S. Standardizing the power of the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test in large data sets. Stat Med 2013;32:67–80.
- Spedding MF, Sorsdahl K, Parry CDH, Mathews C, Stein DJ, Williams PP. Psychological distress during pregnancy: crosssectional prevalence and associated risk factors in a south african sample. J Nerv Ment Dis 2020;208:755–63.
- 27. Vakulchuk R, Stokke K, Overland I. Myanmar: a political economy analysis. Oslo: NUPI School; 2018.

- Lack D, Holt RIG, Baldwin DS. Poor monitoring of physical health in patients referred to a mood disorders service. Ther Adv Psychopharmacol 2015;5:22–5. doi: 10.1177/2045125314560734.
- 29. Wang L, Li N, Heizhati M, Li M, Pan F, Yang Z, et al. Prevalence and predictive nomogram of depression among hypertensive patients in primary care: a cross-sectional study in less developed Northwest China. Medicine (Baltimore) 2021;100:e24422.
- Martinez-Fierro ML, Ayala-Haro AE, Pinedo-Hurtado ME, Solis-Galvan JA, Garza-Veloz I, Velazquez-Lopez ZY, et al. Usefulness of a mobile application (Mentali) for Anxiety and depression screening in medical students and description of the associated triggering factors. Brain Sci 2022;12:1223.